

Analytical five-loop expressions for the renormalization group QED β -function in different renormalization schemes

A. L. Kataev and S.A.Larin

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
117312 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

We obtain analytical five-loop results for the renormalization group β -function of Quantum Electrodynamics with the single lepton in different renormalization schemes. The theoretical consequences of the results obtained are discussed.

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k; 11.10.-z; 11.15.Bt

The version of the text, published in Pisma v ZhETF, vol.96, iss.1, pp.64-67.

The concept of the β -function, which depends on the choice of the renormalization scheme, is the cornerstone of the Quantum Field Theory renormalization group approach, developed in the works of Refs.[1],[2],[3]. In QED the study of the perturbative expansion of the β -function is of special interest. Indeed, it governs the energy-dependence of the constant $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi)$, which defines the coupling of photons with leptons. In this work we will obtain the five-loop analytical expressions for the renormalization group QED β -function of the electron, neglecting the contributions of leptons with higher masses, namely the contributions of muons and tau-leptons.

We will start with the expression for the β -function in the variant of the minimal subtraction scheme [4], namely the $\overline{MS}$ -scheme [5]:

$$\beta_{\overline{MS}}(\bar{\alpha}) = \mu^2 \frac{\partial(\bar{\alpha}/\pi)}{\partial\mu^2} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \bar{\beta}_i \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^{i+1} \quad (1)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the renormalized $\overline{MS}$ -scheme QED coupling constant and μ^2 is the $\overline{MS}$ -scale parameter. At the three-loop level the scheme-dependent coefficient $\bar{\beta}_3$ was independently evaluated analytically in Ref.[6] and Ref.[7]. The four-loop coefficient $\bar{\beta}_4$ was obtained as the result of the project, started in Ref.[8] and completed in Ref.[9]. The QED result of Ref. [9] was confirmed after taking the QED limit of the analytically evaluated in Ref. [10] 4-loop correction to the $\overline{MS}$ -scheme β -function of the $SU(N_c)$ colour gauge model.

To get the five-loop expression for the coefficient $\bar{\beta}_5$ we use the derived in Ref. [11] renormalization-group expression, which has the following form:

$$\bar{\beta}_5 = -\bar{b}_5 - 3\bar{b}_1\bar{a}_4 - 2\bar{b}_2\bar{a}_3 - \bar{b}_3\bar{a}_2 - \bar{b}_1\bar{a}_2^2 \quad (2)$$

where $\bar{a}_l$ and $\bar{b}_l$ enter into the expressions for the l -loop contributions to the photon vacuum polarization functions with $1 \leq l \leq 5$. These contributions are defined as

$$\bar{\Pi}_l(x) = (\bar{a}_l + \bar{b}_l \ln(x) + \bar{c}_l \ln^2(x) + \bar{d}_l \ln^3(x) + \bar{e}_l \ln^4(x)) \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^{l-1} \quad (3)$$

where $x = Q^2/\mu^2$ and Q^2 is the Euclidean momentum transfer. It is possible to show, that for $l \leq 2$ $\bar{c}_l = 0$, $\bar{d}_l = 0$, $\bar{e}_l = 0$, for $l \leq 3$ $\bar{d}_l = 0$ and $\bar{e}_l = 0$, while for $l = 4$ $\bar{e}_4 = 0$. In general $\bar{c}_l, \bar{d}_l, \bar{e}_l$ are expressed through the products of lower order coefficients $\bar{b}_i$ via the corresponding renormalization group relations (see Refs.[12],[11]). For $1 \leq l \leq 3$ the coefficients $\bar{a}_i$ and $\bar{b}_i$ are defined by the results of Ref.[12] and read $\bar{a}_1 = 5/9$, $\bar{a}_2 = 55/48 - \zeta_3$, $\bar{a}_3 = -1247/648 - (35/72)\zeta_3 + (5/2)\zeta_5$, $\bar{b}_1 = -1/3$, $\bar{b}_2 = -1/4$, $\bar{b}_3 = 47/96 - \zeta_3/3$, while the expression for $\bar{a}_4 = 1075825/373248 - (13/96)\zeta_4 + (13051/2592)\zeta_3 - (5/3)\zeta_3^2 + (45/32)\zeta_5 - (35/4)\zeta_7$ was evaluated in Ref.[13]. In order to get $\bar{\beta}_5$ from Eq.(2) we should fix the analytical expression for $\bar{b}_5$ in the r.h.s. of Eq.(2). It is composed of the sum of three contributions $\bar{b}_5 = \bar{b}_5[nbl] + \bar{b}_5[3, lbl] + \bar{b}_5[2, lbl]$. The first term in $\bar{b}_5$ is fixed by the leading logarithmic term of the sum of five-loop photon vacuum polarization graphs, which contain the electron loop with two external vertexes. The second term $\bar{b}_5[3, lbl]$ comes from the logarithmic contribution to five-loop photon propagator diagrams with two one-loop light-by-light scattering type sub-graphs, connected by a photon line with an electron loop insertion and two undressed photon propagators. The third term $\bar{b}_5[2, lbl]$ arises from the logarithmic contribution to five-loop photon propagator diagrams with one-loop and two-loop light-by-light scattering type sub-graphs, connected by three undressed photon propagators.

The first contribution into $\bar{b}_5$ can be defined from the QED limit of the result of Ref.[14] with one active lepton and reads

$$\bar{b}_5[nlbl] = -\frac{409367}{1492992} + \frac{15535}{2592}\zeta_3 - \zeta_3^2 + \frac{25}{12}\zeta_5 - \frac{35}{4}\zeta_7 \quad (4)$$

The term $\bar{b}_5[3, lbl]$ is fixed by us from the QED limit of the depending on the number of fermions analytical expression for the order α_s^4 singlet QCD contribution to the cross-section for electron-positron annihilation into hadrons, published in Ref.[15]. It has the following form

$$\bar{b}_5[3, lbl] = \frac{149}{108} - \frac{13}{6}\zeta_3 - \frac{2}{3}\zeta_3^2 + \frac{5}{3}\zeta_5 \quad (5)$$

The term $\bar{b}_5[2, lbl]$ is obtained from the QED limit of the $C_F\alpha_s^4$ singlet QCD contribution to the cross-section for electron-positron annihilation into hadrons, presented in the subsequent works of Refs.[16], [17], with C_F being the quadratic Casimir operator of the $SU(N_c)$ colour gauge group. This term is

$$\bar{b}_5[2, lbl] = \frac{13}{12} + \frac{4}{3}\zeta_3 - \frac{10}{3}\zeta_5 \quad (6)$$

Substituting the results from Eq.(4), Eq.(5) and Eq.(6) into the r.h.s. of Eq.(2) we get the analytical expression for the five-loop approximation of the $\overline{MS}$ -scheme QED β -function with a single lepton:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{\overline{MS}}(\bar{\alpha}) &= \mu^2 \frac{\partial(\bar{\alpha}/\pi)}{\partial\mu^2} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \bar{\beta}_i \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^{i+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^3 - \frac{31}{288} \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^4 - \left(\frac{2785}{31104} + \frac{13}{36}\zeta_3\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^5 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{195067}{497664} - \frac{13}{96}\zeta_4 - \frac{25}{96}\zeta_3 + \frac{215}{96}\zeta_5\right) \left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^6 + O(\bar{\alpha}^7) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which contain the contributions of the Riemann ζ -functions, defined as $\zeta_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/n)^k$. Let us remind that scheme-dependent coefficients of the β -function do not depend on the concrete realization of the minimal subtraction scheme (see e.g. [7]). Notice the appearance of the ζ_4 -term in the expression for $\bar{\beta}_5$, which did not manifest itself in the lower order coefficients. This feature was already observed in Ref.[18] as the result of analytical calculations of the cubic in the number of leptons five-loop terms of the the QED $\beta_{\overline{MS}}$ -function, which did not contain the cubic in the number of leptons light-by-light-type terms. Comparing our result of Eq.(7) with the expression from Ref.[18], we conclude that the addition of the 5-loop light-by-light-type contributions changes the coefficient and sign of the ζ_4 -contribution in the overall expression for $\bar{\beta}_5$, given in Eq.(7). This happens due to taking into account in the second term of Eq.(2) the light-by-light-type contribution into the constant term $\bar{a}_4$. Another intriguing observation is the cancellation in Eq.(7) of the ζ_7 and ζ_3^2 transcendentalities, which contribute to the first term in Eq.(2), namely the $\bar{b}_5[nlbl]$ -term (see Eq.(4)).

Let us now transform Eq.(2) from the $\overline{MS}$ - to the on-shell scheme using the following equation

$$\beta_{OS}(\alpha) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \beta_i \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^{i+1} = \beta_{\overline{MS}}(\bar{\alpha}(\alpha)) / \frac{\partial \bar{\alpha}(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} \quad (8)$$

where $\mu^2 = m^2$, m is the electron pole mass, α is the QED coupling constant, defined in the on-shell scheme and

$$\bar{\alpha}(\alpha) = \alpha \left(1 + g_2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 + g_3 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^3 + g_4 \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^4 + O(\alpha^5) \right) \quad (9)$$

The coefficients $g_2 = 15/16$, $g_3 = -4867/5184 + (23/72)\pi^2 - (1/3)\pi^2 \ln 2 + (11/96)\zeta_3$ were evaluated in Ref.[19], while $g_4 = 14327767/9331200 + (8791/3240)\pi^2 + (204631/259200)\pi^4 - (175949/4800)\zeta_3 + (1/24)\pi^2 \zeta_3 + (9887/480)\zeta_5 - (595/108)\pi^2 \ln 2 - (106/675)\pi^4 \ln 2 + (6121/2160)\pi^2 \ln^2 2 - (32/135)\pi^2 \ln^3 2 - (6121/2160)\ln^4 2 + (32/225)\ln^5 2 - (6121/90)a_4 - (256/15)a_5$ with a_4 and a_5 defined as $a_k = \text{Li}_k[1/2] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/2n)^k$ was obtained in Ref.[13].

Using these results in the transformation relations of Eq.(9) and Eq.(8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{OS}(\alpha) &= m^2 \frac{\partial(\alpha/\pi)}{\partial m^2} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \beta_i \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^{i+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^3 - \frac{121}{288} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^4 + \left(\frac{5561}{10368} - \frac{23}{18} \zeta_2 + \frac{4}{3} \zeta_2 \ln 2 - \frac{7}{16} \zeta_3 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^5 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{23206993}{37324800} + \frac{6121}{2160} \ln^4 2 - \frac{32}{225} \ln^5 2 - \frac{205021}{259200} \pi^4 + \frac{106}{675} \pi^4 \ln 2 + \frac{6121}{90} \text{Li}_4(1/2) \right. \\ &+ \frac{256}{15} \text{Li}_5(1/2) - \frac{36199}{12960} \pi^2 + \frac{151}{27} \pi^2 \ln 2 - \frac{6121}{2160} \pi^2 \ln^2 2 + \frac{32}{135} \pi^2 \ln^3 2 \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{24} \pi^2 \zeta_3 + \frac{349123}{9600} \zeta_3 - \frac{2203}{120} \zeta_5 \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^6 + O(\alpha^7) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The third coefficient coincides with the one, originally calculated in Ref.[20]. The agreement between analytical results for $\bar{\beta}_3$ and β_3 -coefficients was first demonstrated in Ref.[7]. The expression for the four-loop coefficient β_4 is in agreement with the result of Ref.[19]. The five-loop coefficient β_5 is new. Note, that both β_4 and β_5 -terms contain typical to the on-shell renormalization procedure contributions, which are proportional to $\ln 2$ and $\zeta_2 = \pi^2/6$. However, at present we are unable to rewrite the proportional to π^2 contributions into β_5 through the ζ -functions of even arguments. Indeed, the π^4 -contributions to g_4 may be decomposed into the sum of ζ_4 and ζ_2^2 -terms with unknown to us coefficients.

In order to get the five-loop expression for the QED Gell-Mann-Low $\Psi(\tilde{\alpha})$ -function, which coincides with the QED β -function in the momentum (MOM) subtractions scheme (for the detailed explanation of this statement at the four-loop level see Refs.[8],[9]) we supplement the general transformation relation between the $\beta_{OS}(\alpha)$ -function and the Ψ -function, derived in Ref.[11] with the explicit results for the on-shell scheme analogs of the coefficients $\bar{a}_3$ and $\bar{a}_4$ in Eq.(3), which are known from the results of Ref.[19] and Ref.[13] respectively. The obtained result reads

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\tilde{\alpha}) &= \mu^2 \frac{\partial(\tilde{\alpha}/\pi)}{\partial \mu^2} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \Psi_i \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^{i+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^3 + \left(-\frac{101}{288} + \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3 \right) \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^4 + \left(\frac{93}{128} + \frac{1}{3} \zeta_3 - \frac{5}{3} \zeta_5 \right) \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^5 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{122387}{55296} - \frac{79}{24} \zeta_3 + \zeta_3^2 - \frac{185}{72} \zeta_5 + \frac{35}{4} \zeta_7 \right) \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi} \right)^6 + O(\tilde{\alpha}^7) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We checked that the identical result is obtained from the five-loop expression for the $\beta_{\overline{MS}}(\bar{\alpha})$ -function after transforming it into the MOM-scheme. The expressions for Ψ_3 and Ψ_4 coincide

with the results, originally obtained in Ref.[21] and Ref.[9]. The expression for Ψ_5 is new. On the contrary to the five-loop $\overline{MS}$ - and on-shell scheme coefficients $\bar{\beta}_5$ and β_5 it does not contain ζ -functions of even arguments. However, the contributions of ζ_7 and ζ_3^2 -terms manifest themselves in Ψ_5 only. They are related to the similar scheme-independent [13] contributions into the non-logarithmic four-loop coefficients $\bar{a}_4$ and a_4 of the renormalized photon vacuum polarization function in the $\overline{MS}$ - and on-shell scheme, as given in Ref. [13].

For the completeness we present the five-loop expression for the perturbative quenched QED contribution to the QED β -functions. It was originally obtained in Ref.[22] and published later on in Ref.[14] after additional theoretical cross-checks, proposed in Ref.[23]. The result reads

$$F_1(\alpha_*) = \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^3 - \frac{23}{128}\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^4 + \left(\frac{4157}{6144} + \frac{1}{8}\zeta_3\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^5 + O(\alpha_*^6) \quad (12)$$

where α_* is the corresponding expansion parameter, while the coefficients of F_1 -function do not depend from the renormalization scheme.

At the three- and four-loop level the related expressions were obtained in Ref.[24] and Ref.[9]. The 4-loop result was independently confirmed later on in the work of Ref.[25].

In the numerical form the five-loop perturbative series we are interested in read

$$\beta_{\overline{MS}}(\bar{\alpha}) = 0.3333\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^2 + 0.25\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^3 - 0.1076\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^4 - 0.5236\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^5 + 1.471\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^6 \quad (13)$$

$$\beta_{OS}(\alpha) = 0.3333\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 + 0.25\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 - 0.4201\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^4 - 0.5712\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^5 - 0.3462\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^6 \quad (14)$$

$$\Psi(\tilde{\alpha}) = 0.3333\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^2 + 0.25\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^3 + 0.04999\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^4 - 0.6010\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^5 + 1.434\left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\pi}\right)^6 \quad (15)$$

$$F_1(\alpha_*) = 0.3333\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right) + 0.25\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^2 - 0.03125\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^3 - 0.1797\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^4 + 0.8268\left(\frac{\alpha_*}{\pi}\right)^5 \quad (16)$$

Let us discuss the structure of these perturbative series. From the theoretical arguments, presented in the work of Ref.[26] one may expect that the β -functions are expanded into the sign-alternating asymptotic perturbative series with fast growing coefficients. And indeed, this feature is true in the case of the β -function of the $g\phi^4$ - theory, which is known in the $\overline{MS}$ -scheme up to the five-loop [27], [28]. In the case of QED the asymptotic estimates of Ref.[29] and Ref. [30], analogous to Lipatov's ones for the $g\phi^4$ -theory [26], indicate that the asymptotic structure of QED perturbative series is more complicated, than in the $g\phi^4$ theory. Indeed, the asymptotic of Refs.[29],[30] were obtained only for the gauge-invariant subclasses of diagrams with fixed number of fermion loops. Moreover, the indication of the sign-alternating factorial growth of the perturbative coefficients of the F_1 -function, given in Ref.[29], does not agree with the concrete behavior of the five-loop perturbative series, presented in Eq.(16). Besides, as it is discussed in Ref.[29] and Ref. [30], in the case of complete QED the strong cancellations between coefficients of sub-sets of diagrams with different fixed numbers of fermion loops is expected. This effect may manifest itself in the differences of sign structures of the five-loop approximations for $\beta_{\overline{MS}}(\bar{\alpha})$, $\beta_{OS}(\alpha)$ and $\Psi(\tilde{\alpha})$ (compare Eq.(13) with Eq.(14) and Eq.(15)).

It is also interesting to note, that taking into account the calculated by us five-loop correction to $\Psi(\tilde{\alpha})$ confirms the confidence in the validity of the criterion $0 \leq \Psi(\tilde{\alpha}) < (\tilde{\alpha}/\pi)$, derived by Schwinger [31] and Krasnikov [32] (see Ref. [33] as well). Note also, that the theoretical analysis of the behavior of the perturbative series for the Gell-Mann-Low function $\Psi(\tilde{\alpha})$, performed in Ref.[34], which at large $\tilde{\alpha}$ indicates the validity of its linear behavior, supports the mentioned above identity, derived in Refs.[31],[32], [33].

However, we think that the similar linear behavior, obtained in Ref. [35] for the QED β -function in the on-shell scheme for the case when the expansion parameter is going to infinity should be reconsidered. Indeed, analyzing the behavior of the perturbative series for the $\beta_{OS}(\alpha)$ -function from Eq.(14) at the three- four- and five-loop levels, we observe the appearances of the rigorously speaking unphysical ultraviolet fixed points at $\alpha/\pi \approx 1.2$, $\alpha/\pi \approx 0.8$ and $\alpha/\pi \approx 0.7$ respectively. The appearances of these zeros may affect the exact asymptotic behavior of the QED β -function in the on-shell scheme, considered in Ref. [35].

Acknowledgments This work was done using the Computational cluster of the Theory Division of the Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences and is supported by the Grant NS-5590.2012.2. The work of one of us (ALK) was done within the Scientific Program of RFBR Grants N 11-01-00182, and N 11-02-00112.

After the acceptance of this work for publication the analytical expression for the 5-loop QED corrections to the $\beta_{\overline{MS}}(\overline{\alpha})$ and Ψ -function with N -number of identical charged leptons became known [36].

References

- [1] E. C. G. Stueckelberg and A. Petermann, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **26**, 499 (1953).
- [2] M. Gell-Mann and F. E. Low, *Phys. Rev.* **95**, 1300 (1954).
- [3] N. N. Bogolyubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Nuovo Cim.* **3**, 845 (1956).
- [4] G. 't Hooft, *Nucl. Phys. B* **61**, 455 (1973).
- [5] W. A. Bardeen, A. J. Buras, D. W. Duke and T. Muta, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 3998 (1978).
- [6] A. A. Vladimirov, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **43**, 417 (1980) [*Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **43**, 210 (1980)].
- [7] K. G. Chetyrkin, A. L. Kataev and F. V. Tkachov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **174**, 345 (1980).
- [8] S. G. Gorishny, A. L. Kataev and S. A. Larin, *Phys. Lett. B* **194**, 429 (1987).
- [9] S. G. Gorishny, A. L. Kataev, S. A. Larin and L. R. Surguladze, *Phys. Lett. B* **256**, 81 (1991).
- [10] T. van Ritbergen, J. A. M. Vermaseren and S. A. Larin, *Phys. Lett. B* **400**, 379 (1997) [*hep-ph/9701390*].
- [11] B. P. Nigam, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 5446 (1994) [Erratum-*ibid.* *D* **53**, 4698 (1996)].

- [12] S. G. Gorishny, A. L. Kataev and S. A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B **273**, 141 (1991) [Erratum-ibid. B **275**, 512 (1992)] [Erratum-ibid. B **341**, 448 (1995)].
- [13] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin and C. Sturm, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **183**, 8 (2008).
- [14] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin and J. H. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 132004 (2010) [arXiv:1001.3606 [hep-ph]].
- [15] P. A. Baikov, K. G., Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn and J. Rittinger, Talk at the 10th Int. Symposium on Radiative Corrections (Applications of Quantum Field Theory to Phenomenology)-Radcor2011, September 26-30, 2011, Mamallapuram, India, PoS RADCOR2011 (2012) 030.
- [16] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin and J. H. Kuhn, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **205-206**, 237 (2010) [arXiv:1007.0478 [hep-ph]].
- [17] A. L. Kataev, JETP Lett. **94**, 789 (2011) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **94**, 867 (2011)] [arXiv:1108.2898 [hep-ph]].
- [18] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin and J. H. Kuhn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 012001 (2002) [hep-ph/0108197].
- [19] D. J. Broadhurst, A. L. Kataev and O. V. Tarasov, Phys. Lett. B **298**, 445 (1993).
- [20] E. De Rafael and J. L. Rosner, Annals Phys. **82**, 369 (1974).
- [21] M. Baker and K. Johnson, Phys. Rev. **183**, 1292 (1969).
- [22] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin and J. H. Kuhn, PoS RADCOR **2007**, 023 (2007) [arXiv:0810.4048 [hep-ph]].
- [23] A. L. Kataev, Phys. Lett. B **668**, 350 (2008) [arXiv:0808.3121 [hep-ph]].
- [24] J. L. Rosner, Annals Phys. **44**, 11 (1967).
- [25] D. J. Broadhurst, Phys. Lett. B **466**, 319 (1999) [hep-ph/9909336].
- [26] L. N. Lipatov, Sov. Phys. JETP **45**, 216 (1977) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **72**, 411 (1977)].
- [27] S. G. Gorishny, S. A. Larin, F. V. Tkachov and K. G. Chetyrkin, Phys. Lett. B **132**, 351 (1983).
- [28] H. Kleinert, J. Neu, V. Schulte-Frohlinde, K. G. Chetyrkin and S. A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B **272**, 39 (1991) [Erratum-ibid. B **319**, 545 (1993)] [hep-th/9503230].
- [29] C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J. -B. Zuber, Phys. Rev. D **16**, 996 (1977).
- [30] E. B. Bogomolny and Y. A. Kubyshin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **35**, 114 (1982) [Yad. Fiz. **35**, 202 (1982)].
- [31] J. S. Schwinger, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **71**, 3024 (1974).
- [32] N. V. Krasnikov, Nucl. Phys. B **192**, 497 (1981) [Yad. Fiz. **35**, 1594 (1982)].

- [33] H. Yamagishi, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 464 (1982).
- [34] I. M. Suslov, JETP Lett. **74**, 191 (2001) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **74**, 211 (2001)] [hep-ph/0210239].
- [35] I. M. Suslov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **108**, 980 (2009) [arXiv:0804.2650 [hep-ph]].
- [36] P. A. Baikov, K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn and J. Rittinger, arXiv:1206.1284 [hep-ph].